



The environmental impact of WEEE management and benefits of recycling

COLLECTORS: WASTE COLLECTION SYSTEMS ASSESSED AND GOOD PRACTICES IDENTIFIED

Webinar May 2020 Bernhard Steubing (LDE)







Cases

- Pembrokeshire (UK)
- Helsinki (FI)
- Genoa (IT)
- Cyclad (FR)
- Vienna (AT)

Small WEEE collection (consumers):

- Lamps
- Small household appliances
- Small IT

Environmental assessment

- Material flow analysis (MFA)
- Quantifying environmental impacts (LCA)







System boundaries



Data sources and model outputs









Material flows (Helsinki)



Impacts and sensitivity of capture rate (Helsinki)





Sensitivity analysis



Change in **climate change impacts** per kg when **losses are reduced by 10%** in each step (Helsinki):

Parameter	small WEEE	IT	Lamps
Capture loss	-1.19%	-0.61%	-2.25%
Sorting loss	-2.33%	-1.70%	-1.57%
Gross total	-3.76%	-2.48%	-3.97%

 Identification of «bottlenecks» along the recovery chain

Joint improvements yield higher benefits than the sum of the individual improvements

 → i.e. collection, sorting, recycling, substitution efficiencies should be improved jointly – life cycle perspective



Conclusions

- Collection phase has *low direct*, but *high indirect* environmental relevance
- → Losses during collection, sorting, and recycling should be further minimized
- Increasing re-use can yield high environmental benefits

Limitations

- Only material inputs to EEE production considered (not production processes)
- Complementary flows (e.g. storage or exports):
 - Have environmental consequences, but these are difficult to quantify
 - Need to be further studied and reduced where they are detrimental